

Group Wiki Grading Rubrics

	<u>~ A</u>	<u>~ B</u>	<u>~ C</u>	<u>~ F</u>
Integration of Research Articles	<u>Exceptional</u> Research articles integrate seamlessly and are part of a research thread, series of replications/ extensions, converging operation or programmic research	<u>Good</u> The reader must search for the integrating concepts but can easily identify these concepts.	<u>Fair</u> The reader must surmise what the integrating concepts are; or the articles are only slightly related to each other.	<u>Unacceptabl</u> <u>e</u> There is no integration among the articles chosen.
Quality of Research Articles	<u>Exceptional</u> Key or classic references are included. All of the articles are peer-reviewed, primary and empirical. The research is, in general, current (but may have one or two classic -- ~ 20 years old --articles).	<u>Good</u> Many key or classic references are included. All of the articles are peer-reviewed, primary and empirical. The research is, in general, current (but many articles are > 10 years old).	<u>Fair</u> A few key or classic references are included. Most of the articles are peer-reviewed, primary and empirical. The research averages greater than ten years old.	<u>Unacceptabl</u> <u>e</u> No key or classic references are included. Few of the articles are peer-reviewed, primary and empirical. The research averages greater than ten years old.
Number of Research Articles	<u>Exceptional</u> 5 to 6.	<u>Good</u> 4 to 5	<u>Fair</u> 3 to 4.	<u>Unacceptabl</u> <u>e</u> < 3

Introduction/TOC	<u>Exceptional</u> Clearly introduces area and topic of paper, states organizing theme, suggests conclusions and has thesis statement. TOC is on separate page, labels clearly lead reader to pages, reading order of pages (if any) clear.	<u>Good</u> Adequately introduces area and topic of paper, suggests an organizing theme, ignores conclusions and has no thesis statement. TOC is on same page, labels and reading order must be surmised.	<u>Fair</u> Area and topic of paper must be surmised, ignores an organizing theme and conclusions and has no thesis statement. No TOC only link to first page.	<u>Unacceptable</u> Content unrelated to area and topic of paper, ignores an organizing theme and conclusions and has no thesis statement. No TOC only link to first page.
Summary/Conclusions	<u>Exceptional</u> Introduction's thesis recalled and discussed, statement made about thesis in light of articles reviewed. Discussion of methodology of articles present and is related to conclusions; future	<u>Good</u> Introduction's thesis recalled and discussed, statement made about thesis in light of articles reviewed. Discussion of methodology of articles may be present but with no conclusions.	<u>Fair</u> Disjoined summary of articles reviewed. Cursory description of methodology of articles.	<u>Unacceptable</u> Disjoined summary of articles reviewed. No mention of methodology.

	direction suggested.			
Overall Content	<p><u>Exceptional</u> The wiki is objective and addresses the issues of the proposed topic. The information provided is necessary and sufficient for the purposes of this research paper.</p>	<p><u>Good</u> The wiki is objective and for the most part addresses the issues of the proposed topic. The information provided is necessary and sufficient for the purposes of this research paper.</p>	<p><u>Fair</u> The wiki is objective and for the most part addresses the issues of the proposed topic. The information provided is not necessary or sufficient for the purposes of this research paper.</p>	<p><u>Unacceptable</u> The wiki is not objective and poorly addresses the topic of the proposed research. The information provided is not necessary or sufficient for the purposes of this research paper.</p>
Descriptions of Research	<p><u>Exceptional</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The paragraph is well ordered and has an introduction and conclusion sentence. •The paper discussed is cited in APA style. •There's a description of what the researchers intended to do (possibility describing 	<p><u>Good</u> All of the elements of <i>Exceptional</i> are present, but the description lacks objective clarity and is discussed more from a summative perspective rather than an objective descriptive perspective.</p>	<p><u>Fair</u> Most of the elements of <i>Exceptional</i> are present, but the description lacks objective clarity and is discussed more from a summative perspective rather than an objective descriptive perspective.</p>	<p><u>Poor</u> Few of the elements of <i>Exceptional</i> are present, but the description lacks objective clarity and is discussed more from a summative perspective rather than an objective descriptive perspective.</p>

	<p>theory).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The methods are described: the population, the procedure is mentioned, the IVs and DVs and their operational definitions, the results and conclusions . 			
<p>Style And Grammar</p>	<p><u>Exceptional</u> Vocabulary is precise, appropriate. The writing expresses clarity and accuracy in information. Grammar is error free, with maybe a minor error. Consistent and appropriate voice, tense and transitions. Precise word choices.</p>	<p><u>Good</u> Vocabulary is appropriate; some phrases need work. Grammar is mostly error free, with not more than three errors. Voice, tense and transitions mostly consistent and appropriate. Fairly effective word</p>	<p><u>Fair</u> Vocabulary is weak rather than precise. There is one grammar error on average per page. Voice, tense and transitions somewhat consistent and appropriate. Correct word choices.</p>	<p><u>Unacceptable</u> Vocabulary is inadequate to the topic and incorrect. There is more than one grammar error on average per page. Voice, tense, and/or transitions are inconsistent and/or inappropriate . Ineffective word choices.</p>

		choices.		
Navigation	<u>Exceptional</u> Navigation is seamless and adds to the informative value of the wiki. When appropriate, external links and media are available.	<u>Good</u> Navigation is relatively seamless. When appropriate, external links and media are available.	<u>Fair</u> Navigation is easy to follow but much must be intuited or found by trial and error. There may be external links and media available.	<u>Unacceptable</u> Navigation is difficult to follow. External links and media are not appropriate and detract from the informative value of the wiki.